PATENT COOPERATION TREATURED 27 JUL 2004

PCT

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference JMH/7216-WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/GB 03/02350	International filing date (day/mon 30.05.2003	thlyear) Priority date (day/monthlyear) 31.05.2002				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12Q1/00						
ApplicantUNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL et al.	contract contract	arree a				
This international preliminary exam Authority and is transmitted to the a	nination report has been prepar applicant according to Article 3	red by this International Preliminary Examining 6.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
	These annexes consist of a total of 3 sheets.					
· 3. This report contains indications rela	nting to the following items:	Torse and the				
l ⊠ Basis of the opinion						
II ☐ Priority III ☒ Non-establishment of on						
n. – iton solubilition op		ventive step and industrial applicability				
V 🛛 Reasoned statement und	and the state of t					
VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the int						
VIII	the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of c	ompletion of this report				
30.12.2003	26.07.2	2004				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorize					
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 6 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	· 1	wiak, O e No. +49 89 2399-7219				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02350

l.	Basis	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

•	1. W th aı	lith regard to the eler ne receiving Office in nd are not annexed to	nents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):		
	De	escription, Pages			
	1-	19	as originally filed		
	CI	aims, Numbers			
	1-	15	filed with the demand		
	Dr	awings, Sheets			
	1/8	3-8/8	as originally filed		
2	. Wi lan	th regard to the lang nguage in which the in	uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	Th	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:		
		the language of a to	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
		the language of pul	plication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a to Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the numbers of international proliminant accounts the		
3.	Wit inte	th regard to any nucl ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
			ernational application in written form.		
			ne international application in computer readable form.		
			ntly to this Authority in written form.		
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.		
		The statement that the listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the contract		
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02350

ŧ	5. [This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).						
			(Any replacement sheet cor report.)	ntaining	such amen	ndments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
E	S. <i>F</i>	Additional observations, if necessary:						
1	II. N	Nor	n-establishment of opinion	with re	egard to nov	velty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	. Т	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
		J	the entire international applic	cation,		•		
	×	3	claims Nos. 14-15					
			because:					
]	the said international applica not require an international p	ition, oi orelimin	r the said cla ary examina	aims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does ation (specify):		
	×	3	the description, claims or dra unclear that no meaningful o	awings pinion	<i>(indicate pai</i> could be forr	articular elements below) or said claims Nos. 14-15 are so med <i>(specify)</i> :		
			see separate sheet					
		3	the claims, or said claims No could be formed.	s. are	so inadequat	ately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion		
		3	no international search repor	t has b	een establis	shed for the said claims Nos.		
A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:				cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ andard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative				
) t	the written form has not beer	n furnisi	hed or does	not comply with the Standard.		
] 1	the computer readable form I	nas not	been furnisi	shed or does not comply with the Standard.		
V.	. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.	St	Statement						
	No	ove	lty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13		
	ln	ven	tive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13		
	ind	dus	trial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13		
2.	Cit	tati	ons and explanations					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02350

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III

Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

3.1 No examination report is provided with respect to claims 14-15 because these claims are so unclear that no meaningful opinion can be issued (Art 6 PCT; cf. remarks provided infra in second written opinion).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 5.1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: OSBORNE, M. A. et al., XP002033515
 - D2: US 5,637,463 (DALTON et al.)
 - D3: VOLPERS C. et al., XP001154168
 - D4: CLARK D. D. et al., XP001154165
 - D5: KOCHAN J. P. et al., XP009016081
 - D6: SEREBRIISKII I. G. et al., XP009016134
 - D7: FULLER K. J. et al., XP001154167
- 5.2 The present set of claims fullfils the criteria of Art 34(2)(b) PCT.

NOVELTY:

5.3 With respect to claims 1-10:

None of the cited prior art documents discloses a method comprising a tribrid (trihybrid) system in which the prey comprises an antibody. Thus, claims 1-10 are novel.

5.4 The same applies with respect to the cells claimed in claims 11-13 which are also deemed novel.

INVENTIVE STEP:

5.5 With respect to claims 1-10:

Document D1 was chosen as closest prior art because it serves the same general purpose as the method of claim 1 and shares most of the features therewith. D1 discloses a screening method for regulatory enzymes comprising the construction

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

of a trihybrid (tribrid) cell comprising genes encoding an expression library of putative enzymes, a bait protein or polypeptide fused to a DNA binding domain. and a prey protein attached to an active domain recognising a post-translationally modified protein, being able to detect post-tranlationally modified bait proteins by induction of expression of a reporter gene (D1, p. 1475, col. 1, 2nd para, - p. 1478, col. 1, last para.; Fig. 1).

- 5.6 The difference between claim 1 and D1 is that the method of claim 1 as the 'prey' employs a fusion protein between an antibody which recognizes a posttranslationally modified protein, and a protein activation domain. The technical effect generated by that difference is that the method of claim 1 is not restricted to the detection of protein/protein interactions based on tyrosine phoyphorylation, but can be applied to more kinds of protein/protein interactions, and, furthermore, provides a fuctional screening system for regulatory enzymes of a protein of interest (cf. application, p. 2, 1st para. - p. 3, penultimate para.).
- 5.7 There is no hint in the cited prior art documents to employ a fusion between an antibody specific for a post-translationally modified protein fused to a protein activation domain as a 'prey' in a tribrid cell system. In view of the advantages specified in the description of the present application, the method of claim 1 is considered to involve an inventive step. The same applies to dependent claims 2-10 which relate to preferred embodiments of the method of claim 1.
- **5.8** With respect to claims 11-13: Claims 11-13 are directed to a tribrid (tri-hybrid) cells reflecting the novel and inventive features of the respective methods. Thus, claims 11-13 are considered inventive for the reasons set out with respect to claims 1-10, supra.
- **5.9** With respect to claims 14-15: Claims 14-15 are entirely unclear as they do not embrace any technical features. Thus, no examination report with respect to these claims is provided (cf. section 3.1, supra).



REPLACED BY ART 34 AMDT

CLAIMS

- 1. A screening method for regulatory enzymes, the method comprising the construction of a tribrid cell containing genes encoding an expression library of putative enzymes, a bait protein or polypeptide fused to a known DNA binding domain and a prey protein which recognises a protein or polypeptide which has been post translationally modified, the prey protein being attached to a known protein active domain, whereby, in use, binding or recognition of the bait protein or polypeptide by the prey protein or polypeptide upon post-translational modification by an enzyme contained in the expression library, causes transcription of a reporter gene or genes which allow recognition of the enzyme activity.
- 2. A method according to claim 1, in which the cell is a eukaryote.
- 3. A method according to claim 1 or claim 2, in which the cell is a yeast cell.
- A method according to any one of claims 1 to 3, in which the enzyme is involved in the post-translational modification of nascent proteins or polypeptides.
- A method according to claim 4, in which the enzyme is involved in the regulation of phosphorylation, glycosylation, sulphonation, acetylation, side chain modification, nitrosylation, ubiquination, myristoylation or palmitoylation.
- 6. A method according to claim 4 or claim 5, in which the enzyme is a kinase or a phosphatase.

- 7. A method according to any preceding claim, in which the bait protein is an oncoprotein, a kinase, a phosphatase, a receptor protein, an adapter protein or a scaffolding protein.
- 8. A method according to any preceding claim, in which the prey protein is conformationally constrained within the cell.
- A method according to claim 8, in which the prey protein is conformationally constrained by linkage of the carboxy and amino termini of the protein.
- A method according to any preceding claim, in which the prey protein is an antibody or a polypeptide selected from SH2, PTB, 14-3-3 and WWV domain.
- 11. A method according to any preceding claim, in which the prey protein further comprises an epitope tag to enable rapid detection of fusion protein synthesis.
- 12. A tribrid cell engineered to express a cDNA library of enzymes or putative enzymes, and a prey protein for use in the method of claims 1 to 11.
- 13. A tribrid cell according to claim 12, in which the cell is a eukaryote cell.
- 14. A cell according to claim 12 or claim 13, in which the cell is a yeast cell
- 15. A method substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as illustrated by the Examples.
- A cell substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as illustrated by the Examples.

DT15 H PCT/PTO 29 NOV 2004

20

CLAIMS

- 1. A screening method for regulatory enzymes, the method comprising the construction of tribrid cells containing genes encoding an expression library of putative enzymes, a bait protein or polypeptide fused to a known DNA binding domain and a prey protein which is an antibody that recognises a protein or polypeptide which has been post translationally modified, the prey protein being attached to a known protein active domain, whereby, in use, binding or recognition of the bait protein or polypeptide by the prey protein or polypeptide upon post-translational modification by an enzyme contained in the expression library, causes transcription of a reporter gene or genes which allow recognition of the enzyme activity.
- 2. A method according to claim 1, in which the cell is a eukaryote.
- 3. A method according to claim 1 or claim 2, in which the cell is a yeast cell.
- A method according to any one of claims 1 to 3, in which the enzyme is involved in the post-translational modification of nascent proteins or polypeptides.
- 5. A method according to claim 4, in which the enzyme is involved in the regulation of phosphorylation, glycosylation, sulphonation, acetylation, side chain modification, nitrosylation, ubiquination, myristoylation or palmitoylation.
- 6. A method according to claim 4 or claim 5, in which the enzyme is a kinase or a phosphatase.

- 7. A method according to any preceding claim, in which the bait protein is an oncoprotein, a kinase, a phosphatase, a receptor protein, an adapter protein or a scaffolding protein.
- 8. A method according to any preceding claim, in which the prey protein is conformationally constrained within the cell.
- 9. A method according to claim 8, in which the prey protein is conformationally constrained by linkage of the carboxy and amino termini of the protein.
- 10. A method according to any preceding claim, in which the prey protein further comprises an epitope tag to enable rapid detection of fusion protein synthesis.
- 11. A tribrid cell for use in the method of claims 1 to 10, said tribrid cell being engineered to express an enzyme or putative enzyme from a cDNA library, a bait protein or polypeptide fused to a known DNA binding domain and a prey protein which is an antibody that recognizes a protein or polypeptide which has been post translationally modified, the prey protein being attached to a known protein active domain, whereby, in use, binding or recognition of the bait protein or polypeptide by the prey protein or polypeptide upon post-translational modification by the enzyme from the cDNA library causes transcription of a reporter gene or genes which allow recognition of the enzyme activity.
- 12. A tribrid cell according to claim 11, in which the cell is a eukaryote cell.
- 13. A cell according to claim 11 or claim 12, in which the cell is a yeast cell.

- 14. A method substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as illustrated by the Examples.
- 15. A cell substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as illustrated by the Examples.